

Lecture Notes of
Seminario Interdisciplinare di Matematica
Vol. 6(2007), pp. 157–162.

Lower bounds for solutions of degenerate parabolic equations

Ugo GIANAZZA and Sergio POLIDORO

Abstract¹. We show how pointwise lower bounds for positive weak solutions of degenerate parabolic equations can be derived from the intrinsic Harnack inequality they satisfy. This generalizes a result proved by Moser for linear parabolic equations with bounded and measurable coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

In his seminal paper [7], relying on the Harnack inequality for positive solutions, Moser proves that for linear parabolic equations with bounded and measurable coefficients, the following holds

Theorem 1.1. *There exist two positive constants A and a such that, for any x and y in \mathbb{R}^N , for any $0 < s < t < T$ and for any non-negative solution of*

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}(a_{ij}(x, t)Du) = 0$$

defined in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$, we have

$$(1.1) \quad u(t, y) \geq u(s, x) \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^a \exp\left(-A\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right)\right).$$

Let us remark that in the x -variable we have the well-known exponential behavior of the fundamental solution, whereas in the t -variable we have a power-like decay, which is not the optimal one. More details on this kind of results can be found also in [8]. The proof of Theorem 1.1 has been adapted by several authors to a wide class of linear degenerate parabolic operators (see [5], [6], and [9]). A lower bound for Hörmander operators in the form $\sum_{j=1}^m X_j^2 u + X_0 u = \partial_t u$ has been given in [2] by using optimal control techniques.

It is a natural question to investigate whether the same method can be used in the study of degenerate parabolic equations of p -Laplacian or porous medium type. The aim of this note is precisely to prove such a result.

Acknowledgements: This work was prepared during the “Meeting on Subelliptic PDE’s and Applications to Geometry and Finance”, which was held in Cortona

¹Authors’ address: U. Gianazza, Università degli Studi di Pavia, Dipartimento di Matematica “F. Casorati”, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, Italy; e-mail: gianazza@imati.cnr.it.

S. Polidoro, Università degli Studi di Bologna, Dipartimento di Matematica, Piazza di Porta San Donato 5, 40126 Bologna, Italy; e-mail: polidoro@dm.unibo.it.

Keywords. Degenerate parabolic equations, lower bounds.

AMS Subject Classification. Primary 35K65, 35B65; Secondary 35B45.

(Italy), from June 12th to 17th 2006. The first author expresses his deep gratitude to the organizers for the pleasant working atmosphere they created.

2. LOWER BOUNDS FOR SOLUTIONS TO THE p -LAPLACIAN

Let E be an open set in \mathbb{R}^N and for $T > 0$ let E_T denote the cylindrical domain $E \times (0, T]$. Consider quasi-linear, parabolic differential equations of the form

$$(2.1) \quad u_t - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du) = 0 \quad \text{weakly in } E_T$$

where the function $\mathbf{A} : E_T \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is only assumed to be measurable and subject to the structure conditions

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du) \cdot Du \geq C_o |Du|^p \\ |\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)| \leq C_1 |Du|^{p-1} \end{cases} \quad \text{a.e. in } E_T$$

where $p \geq 2$ and C_o and C_1 are given positive constants.

A function

$$(2.3) \quad u \in C_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L^2_{\text{loc}}(E)) \cap L^p_{\text{loc}}(0, T; W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(E))$$

is a local, weak solution to (2.1)-(2.2) if for every compact set $K \subset E$ and every sub-interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset (0, T]$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_K u \varphi dx \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_K [-u \varphi_t + \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du) \cdot D\varphi] dx dt = 0$$

for all bounded testing functions

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L^2(K)) \cap L^p_{\text{loc}}(0, T; W^{1,p}_o(K)) .$$

In [4] the following results is proved.

Theorem 2.1 (Intrinsic Harnack Inequality). *Let u be a continuous, non-negative, weak solution to (2.1)-(2.2). There exist positive constants C and M depending only upon the data, such that for all cylinders $K_{4\rho}(x_o) \times (t_o - 4\theta\rho^p, t_o + 4\theta\rho^p)$, contained in E_T ,*

$$(2.6) \quad u(x_o, t_o) \leq M \inf_{K_\rho(x_o)} u(x, t_o + \theta\rho^p) ,$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad \theta = \frac{C}{u(x_o, t_o)^{p-2}} .$$

In the following, using (2.6)-(2.7), and some Control Theory tools, we prove a lower pointwise bound for positive local weak solutions to (2.1)-(2.2).

Theorem 2.2. *Let u be a positive weak solution of (2.1)-(2.2) defined in \mathbb{R}^N . Then for any $(x, t), (y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $t > s$ we have*

$$(2.8) \quad u(x, t) \geq \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^a u(y, s) \times$$

$$\times \left(1 - C \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{a(p-2)/(p-1)} \frac{M^{(p-2)/(p-1)} - 1}{M^{(p-2)/(p-1)} u(y, s)^{(p-2)/(p-1)}} \frac{|x-y|^{p/(p-1)}}{(t-s)^{1/(p-1)}} \right)^{(p-1)/(p-2)}_+$$

where a is a positive parameter that depends only on the data.

Proof. We consider solutions defined in the whole \mathbb{R}^N , mainly for the sake of simplicity. It is important to remark that the Harnack inequality holds, provided the space-time cylinder $K_{4\rho}(x_o) \times [t_o - 4^p\theta\rho^p, t_o + 4^p\theta\rho^p] \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times]0, +\infty[$.

Let us now fix $(x_o, t_o) \in (y, T)$, assuming for the moment that $x_o \neq y$, and let us denote with $\gamma(s)$ the parametrization of the path that joins $(\gamma(0) = x_o, \gamma(T - t_o) = y)$. Using some typical Control Theory notation, in the following we will denote $\dot{\gamma}$ with ω .

Let $\rho > 0$ and $t = t_o + s = t_o + \theta\rho^p$. We have trivially

$$\rho = \left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{1/p} = C_1 s^{1/p} u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/p}.$$

Moreover

$$|\gamma(s) - x_o| \leq \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\gamma(s) - x_o| \leq C_1 s^{1/p} u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/p}$$

and also

$$|\gamma(s) - x_o| \leq \int_0^s |\omega(\tau)| d\tau \leq s^{1/p} \left(\int_0^s |\omega(\tau)|^{p/(p-1)} d\tau \right)^{(p-1)/p}.$$

If all the quantities are upper bounded by ρ , we get

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^s |\omega(\tau)|^{p/(p-1)} d\tau \leq C_2 u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/(p-1)}.$$

Let us now choose $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k = T$, with $x_j = \gamma(t_j)$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$, in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_1-t_o} |\omega(\tau)|^{p/(p-1)} d\tau &= C_2 u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(x_1, t_1) \geq \frac{u(x_o, t_o)}{M}, \\ &\vdots \\ \int_{t_j-t_o}^{t_{j+1}-t_o} |\omega(\tau)|^{p/(p-1)} d\tau &= C_2 \left(\frac{u(x_o, t_o)}{M^j} \right)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} \leq C_2 u(x_j, t_j)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{this ensures that } |\gamma(s) - x_j| \leq \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(x_{j+1}, t_{j+1}) \geq \frac{u(x_o, t_o)}{M^{j+1}}.$$

We have to determine the number k of steps we need, in order to go from (x_o, t_o) to (y, T) . From the previous calculations, we get

$$(2.10) \quad k = \min \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \int_0^{T-t_o} |\omega(\tau)|^{p/(p-1)} d\tau \leq \leq C_2 u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} (1 + m + \dots + m^j) \right\}$$

where we have set

$$m = \frac{1}{M^{(p-2)/(p-1)}} \in]0, 1[.$$

Notice that $k = 0$ is not ruled out. We easily obtain

$$(2.11) \quad u(y, T) \geq M^{-k-1} u(x_o, t_o).$$

Now we have to evaluate

$$\min \int_0^{T-t_o} |\omega(\tau)|^{p/(p-1)} d\tau \equiv \min \int_0^{T-t_o} |\dot{\gamma}(\tau)|^{p/(p-1)} d\tau$$

with the limit conditions $\gamma(0) = x_o$, $\gamma(T - t_o) = y$. From the Euler-Lagrange equation we get

$$\dot{\gamma}(\tau) = cost \Rightarrow \gamma(\tau) = \frac{y - x_o}{T - t_o} \tau + x_o .$$

Correspondingly

$$(2.12) \quad \min \int_0^{T-t_o} |\omega(\tau)|^{p/(p-1)} d\tau = \frac{|y - x_o|^{p/(p-1)}}{(T - t_o)^{1/(p-1)}} .$$

Moreover from (2.10) we have

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 + m + \dots + m^{k-1} &= \frac{1 - m^k}{1 - m} \leq \frac{|y - x_o|^{p/(p-1)}}{C_2 u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} (T - t_o)^{1/(p-1)}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1 - m^{k+1}}{1 - m} = 1 + \dots + m^k , \\ m^{k+1} &\leq 1 - \frac{1 - m}{C_2} \frac{|y - x_o|^{p/(p-1)}}{C_2 u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} (T - t_o)^{1/(p-1)}} < m^k . \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that (2.13) holds true only when the central quantity is strictly positive, i.e. if $u(x_o, t_o)$ is not too small; otherwise we can only state that $u(x, t) \geq 0$.

Recalling the definition of m , combining (2.11) with (2.13), we finally have

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} u(y, T) &\geq u(x_o, t_o) \times \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{M^{(p-2)/(p-1)} - 1}{C_2 M^{(p-2)/(p-1)} u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} (T - t_o)^{1/(p-1)}} |y - x_o|^{p/(p-1)} \right)_+^{(p-1)/(p-2)} , \end{aligned}$$

that is, setting (x, t) , instead of (y, T) ,

$$(2.15) \quad u(x, t) \geq u(x_o, t_o) \left(1 - \frac{C_3}{u(x_o, t_o)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} (t - t_o)^{1/(p-1)}} \frac{|x - x_o|^{p/(p-1)}}{(t - t_o)^{1/(p-1)}} \right)_+^{(p-1)/(p-2)} ,$$

where C_3 depends only upon the data.

The previous result shows no explicit decay in time and moreover was obtained assuming $|x - x_o| \neq 0$. Now we want to take these two aspects into account. Let us consider $(0, s)$ and (x, t) with $0 < s < t$ and suppose for the moment that $t > 4s$. Let k be the integer such that $2^{k+1}s < t \leq 2^{k+2}s$ and set $\tau = 2^k s$. From (2.15) we get

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, t) &\geq u(0, \tau) \times \\ &\times \left(1 - C \frac{M^{(p-2)/(p-1)} - 1}{M^{(p-2)/(p-1)} u(0, \tau)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} (t - \tau)^{1/(p-1)}} \frac{|x|^{p/(p-1)}}{(t - \tau)^{1/(p-1)}} \right)_+^{(p-1)/(p-2)} . \end{aligned}$$

Let us now set $u_0 \equiv u(0, s)$ and define

$$t_0 = s , \quad t_1 = 2s , \quad \dots , \quad t_k = 2^k s = \tau .$$

We can repeatedly apply the Harnack inequality and conclude that

$$u(0, s) \leq M^k u(0, \tau) ,$$

that is

$$(2.17) \quad u(0, \tau) \geq \left(\frac{s}{\tau}\right)^a u(0, s) \geq \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^a u(0, s),$$

where a is a positive parameter that depends only on M .

Due to the definition, it is immediate to verify that

$$t - \tau \geq \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t - s}{2}.$$

We can then combine (2.16) and (2.17), and we can then conclude that, if $t > 4s$,

$$(2.18) \quad u(x, t) \geq \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^a u(y, s) \times \\ \times \left(1 - C \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{a(p-2)/(p-1)} \frac{M^{(p-2)/(p-1)} - 1}{M^{(p-2)/(p-1)} u(y, s)^{(p-2)/(p-1)}} \frac{|x - y|^{p/(p-1)}}{(t - s)^{1/(p-1)}}\right)_+^{(p-1)/(p-2)}.$$

On the other hand, if $s < t \leq 4s$, it is apparent that $1 < t/s \leq 4$ and therefore we can conclude that (2.18) holds a lower bound on u for any $0 < s < t$.

□

Remark 2.1. If we deal with porous media like equations, the Harnack inequality becomes

$$(2.19) \quad u(x_o, t_o) \leq M \inf_{K_\rho(x_o)} u(x, t_o + \theta\rho^2) \quad , \quad \theta = \frac{C}{u(x_o, t_o)^{m-1}}$$

and again M, C are constants that depend only on the data. If we repeat the same procedure as before, we get

$$(2.20) \quad u(x, t) \geq \left(\frac{t_o}{t}\right)^a u(x_o, t_o) \times \\ \times \left(1 - C \left(\frac{t}{t_o}\right)^{a(m-1)} \frac{M^{m-1} - 1}{M^{m-1} u(x_o, t_o)^{m-1}} \frac{|x - x_o|^2}{t - t_o}\right)_+^{1/(m-1)}.$$

Remark 2.2. As already remarked in Section 1 for linear parabolic equations, also in the degenerate context, both in (2.18) and (2.20), we have a Barenblatt-like dependance with respect to the x -variable, whereas the time decay, albeit power-like, is not the optimal one. On the other hand, this seems unavoidable, as all our estimates depend in a critical way on the value of M . We will comment on this in the next Section.

Remark 2.3. In (2.6) and (2.19), all the constants are stable as $p \rightarrow 2$ or $m \rightarrow 1$. It is therefore a natural question to investigate the behavior of (2.18) or (2.20) as $p \rightarrow 2$ or $m \rightarrow 1$.

It is a matter of simple calculus to check that in both cases at the limit we obtain

$$u(t, y) \geq u(s, x) \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^a \exp\left(-A \left(1 + \frac{|x - y|^2}{t - s}\right)\right).$$

which is exactly the behavior given by Moser in the linear case. The constants a and A depend only on the limit value of the parameters $C(p), C(m), M(p), M(m)$.

3. COMPARISON WITH AUCHMUTY AND BAO'S RESULTS

Here we limit ourselves to the porous medium equation, but a similar remark can be repeated for the p -Laplacian.

Starting from a Hamilton-Jacobi differential inequality, with Control Theory arguments, in [1] for non-negative solutions to

$$u_t - \Delta u^m = 0 \quad , \quad m > 1 \quad ,$$

defined in the whole \mathbb{R}^N , Auchmuty e Bao prove the following lower bound

$$(3.1) \quad u(x_2, t_2) \geq \left(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\right)^k u(x_1, t_1) \times \\ \times \left[1 - \frac{\delta}{4} \frac{|x_2 - x_1|^2}{t_2^\delta - t_1^\delta} \frac{m-1}{m[u(x_1, t_1)]^{m-1}} \frac{1}{t_1^\mu}\right]^{1/(m-1)} \quad ,$$

where $k = N/[N(m-1) + 2]$, $\mu = (m-1)k$, $\delta = 1 - \mu$.

Other than (2.20), (3.1) has the right Barenblatt-like decay, both in time and in space. Unfortunately the proof of (3.1) depends in a fundamental way on the Aronson-Bénilan estimate,

$$\Delta f \geq -\frac{k}{t} \quad , \quad f := \left(\frac{m}{m-1}\right) u^{m-1}$$

which does not seem to be extendable to equations with the fully quasi-linear structure, as dealt with here, and in [4] (see also [3]). It remains an open problem to see if some kind of "full" Barenblatt-like lower bounds can be proved for positive weak solutions to such equations.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Auchmuty & D. Bao, *Harnack-type inequalities for evolution equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 122(1994), 117–129.
- [2] U. Boscain & S. Polidoro, *Gaussian estimates for hypoelliptic operators via optimal control*, to appear on Atti dell'Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Classe di Scienze Fisiche Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Serie IX. Matematica e Applicazioni.
- [3] E. Di Benedetto, *Degenerate parabolic equations*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [4] E. Di Benedetto, U. Gianazza & V. Vespi, *Harnack estimates for quasi-linear degenerate parabolic differential equations*, Preprint I.M.A.T.I. #4-PV, 2006, to appear in Acta Mathematica.
- [5] D.S. Jerison & A. Sánchez-Calle, *Estimates for the heat kernel for a sum of squares of vector fields*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 35(1986), 835–854.
- [6] S. Kusuoka & D. Stroock, *Applications of the Malliavin calculus. III*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 34(1987), 391–442.
- [7] J. Moser, *A Harnack inequality for parabolic differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 17(1964), 101–134.
- [8] L. Saloff-Coste, *Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, 289, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [9] N.T. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste & T. Coulhon, *Analysis and geometry on groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 100, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.